THE HIDDEN RURAL HOUSING

CHALLENGES IN ETHIOPIA

AND

AN ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION

MEASURE

Presented to:

ETHIOPIA 2050 – Grand Challenges And Opportunities Conference

December 19-20/1920 Addis Ababa, Ethiopia ***** Many nations enshrine housing development in their policy with a strategic plan framework

***** In some, such practices do happen rarely

In which case, a certain segment of the population will be left far behind

* For such states the wake-up call may be felt very late

Solution Strate Stra

In Ethiopia , the housing situation in the rural was not given a policy coverage (if not mistaken) until the GTP I and GTP II plans

Where it was stated that, rural housing is 3,400,000 and the urban including Addis Ababa is 1,500,000 within the period of 2015/16-2020/21

Though not sure of the progress made so far, time is speeding up

To straighten up the ambitious popular plan, specifically, that for the rural domain available alternatives have to be critically evaluated

One of such alternatives could be amended compressed earth block (ACEB)

The proposition is:

Amending a given natural soil with lime and powdered pozzolans in the presence of optimal amount of water could help in obtaning an improvised building material

The effort pursued achieved a positive result both in compressive strength and durability terms per international earth construction normative

CONTEXTUAL UNDERSTANDING AND METHOD

The local building construction materials are mostly defined by the geographical location and settlement pattern of the Ethiopian people

With the geographic influence comes mode of life and style of built environment

The climatic condition also dictates the endowed natural resources for the construction of houses and their type

In the Ethiopian rural situation everything related to housing is very much dependent on naturally endowed raw materials with little or no improvisation

The lessons from the past enlightens us that, since exercises of this nature were not in place there was little or no improvement in the vernacular housing & life style

* This shows that, there are lots to be done to galvanize the practice & bring about a change

We all feel that nothing tangible was done to modernize the ninety million or so of the rural mass habitat

It happened to be left aside to be handled by NGOs; wherever the chance arises

The practice was so fragmented, non-well crafted, short lived, un-sustained in any of its form

It is exacerbated with a zero support of any research effort, to say the least

It is quite unfortunate to see that none of our vernacular construction materials are upheld to navigate through the tide of time

Substitution For the state of the state o

On the other hand, though shelter is one of the prime and fundamental necessities for a continued and meaningful sustained human life

It seems that no attention is yet given to improvise and standardize our own construction materials and their subsequent effective application

Most of the contemporary researches being pursued are focusing on high energy demanding construction materials

Where high energy results in high product cost it truly targets the modernization of mega cities and to some extent those of bigger towns From the perspective of energy consumption and CO2 emission, most commonly used construction materials locally are: re-bar, cement and hollow concrete blocks (HCBs)

These are extremely detrimental to the wellbeing of the whole eco-system

A recent study in Ethiopia reported that, cumulatively, they were responsible for 94% of the embodied energy and 98% of the CO2 emissions in only the cradle to site phase

This will further inflate if the whole life-cycle of the buildings is accounted for

In which case, with regard to standardizing locally innovated building materials, it seems quite important to learn from others

Who succeeded by encouraging the locals to appreciably use available knowledge to take advantage of the resources at hand

Nepal's code which was implemented in 2001 clearly marked a sign on the bottom-up approach on the success and increasing the code compliance rate The four different levels of sophistication of design and construction contents were:

International state of the art

Professionally Engineered structures

Suildings of restricted size designed to simple rules of thumb

Mandatory rule of thumb; and

* Remote rural buildings where control is impractical

Local building inputs must be supported with product specification and standards

Solution Else, it would be fairly difficult to compete with those industrially produced ones in the market place In this regard, the main objective of the just concluded research was to shed a limelight on a home grown culture of construction material formulation

Thereby, lay a foundation for their continuous and innovative up keeping

Underpinned with research, technical development and standardization of products and services As an output of the research finding:

Such type of improvised building block is named as amended compressed earth block (ACEB)

The mortar thereby is labeled as amended earth mortar (AEM)

For the product, the ingredients were soil, lime and pozzolanic material, separately

Plus the two together leading to six more distinct mixtures to have seven series of specimen blocks as in the table below (SD1-SD7)

Dry, Post-drip & Post-capillary Test Results

CEB/ACEB)rv	Wet		Water Effect			
Series	Sample	Test Type	eight -N)	Weight (N)	Comp. Strength (MPa)	Penetration (mm)	Wt.Gain (N)	% Gain	Remark
	<u>N0.</u>	Dry	32.7		0.5				CEB
SD-1	1.1	Drin	15.8		0.0	15			CEB
	1.3	Capillary	14.1	126.0	0.6		11.0	10.4	CEB
SD-2	2.1	Dry	91.0		0.6		11.5	10.4	ACEB
	2.2	Drip	03.6		0.9	15			ACEB
	2.3	Capillary	02.7	105.4	0.2		26.5	2.6	ACEB
SD-3	3.1	Dry	07.3		1.2				ACEB
	3.2	Drip	0.0		1.1	13			ACEB
	3.3	Capillary	01.8	104.2	0.5		24.6	2.4	ACEB
SD-4	4.1	Dry	08.0		0.9				ACEB
	4.2	Drip	00.8		1.1	12			ACEB
	4.3	Capillary	03.8	106.0	0.6		21.5	2.1	ACEB
SD-5	5.1	Dry	20.2		1.1				ACEB
	5.2	Drip	25.4		1.2	12			ACEB
	5.3	Capillary	32.3	132.8	0.5		5.5	0.4	ACEB
SD-6	6.1	Dry	14.3		1.3				ACEB
	6.2	Drip	12.4		1.2	10			ACEB
	6.3	Capillary	13.1	113.8	0.8		6.7	0.6	ACEB
SD-7	7.1	Dry	32.4		1.1				ACEB
	7.2	Drip	17.0		1.5	10			ACEB
	7.3	Capillary	16.7	117.5	1.1		7.7	0.7	ACEB

Casagrande plasticity chart showing amending effectiveness



The above figure clearly indicates:

The improvement made by changing the highly plastic soil to low plasticity (the PI from 43 to 25)

The soil class from CH or OH (inorganic or organic clays) to MH or OH (inorganic silts or organic clays)

This in turn reduces the characteristics of the given natural soil shrinkage potential from the high to the low range.

CEB & ACEB compressive strength vs. various testing conditions

It shows the performance improvement gained by the proposed amending method of natural soils



Referring to international normative of compressed earth blocks, the African Regional Standard Organization (ARSO), has set three mechanical constraints for excessive water absorption limits:

≤ 15% for category one

≤ 10% for category two

≤ 5 for category three

NB:- The results of the current research were found to be in the range of 0.4% - 2.6%

Drip effect on CEB and ACEB units vs. various testing conditions



CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Conclusions

* The initiated move has proven that, the finding is worth for future application

* The rural housing scheme need to consider this method of construction for its habitat upgrading & affordability

* Further researches must be encouraged to ensure sustainability & durability

2. Recommendations

* Due to susceptibility of earthen structures to various environmental effects study centers need be established at various locations of the country for the purpose of monitoring

* A central monitoring, evaluation and information center has to be established to bear responsibility

* Standards and application guidelines has to be developed to make the product a main stream local construction material

HIGHLIGHT OF THE SO FAR EFFORTS

UTILITY MODEL CERTIFICATE



THE HOUSE



Buee TVET College



Method of Amending Soils for Compressed Block and Mortar in Earthen Construction

Abstract

The paper presents the results of a research conducted aiming at developing a method of producing building block and mortar from locally available natural soils and earth minerals. The main focus of the effort is to establish or advance wall making building blocks and jointing/binding mortars from amended soils with cementitious raw lime/non-factory manufactured and pozzolanic minerals. The targeted beneficiaries of the success are the over eighty million disadvantaged Ethiopians residing in the rural and semi-rural areas of the country. They deserve a decent, sustainable, eco-friendly and a popular technology based habitat. The long journey towards achieving the noble goal was initiated by investigating the suitability of soils and earth minerals, preparation and testing of informative specimens and the production of mortar cubes and proto-type building blocks in their actual size to simulate field application conditions. The specifics of this particular move is thoroughly focusing on the two prime parameters of compressive strength and durability (water resistance) which are the determinant factors for the viable application of earth based wall making in puts. The attained results of the effort indicated that the designed mix proportioning of the ingredients confirmed that the products are acceptable both in compressive strength and durability terms for the intended purpose. To this effect, the blocks produced using the proposed method, are named as amended compressed earth blocks (ACEBs).

Keywords: Natural soils, Earth minerals, Amended compressed earth block (ACEB), Mortar, Earthen construction, Durability

Zede Journal of Ethiopian Engineers and Architects (2019)

AT ADDIS CHAMBER'S EXHIBITION



ACEB's BOOTH AT THE EXHIBITION



Soil Amending Product Processing Unit (Proposal)



THANK YOU!